		· · · · · ·		
			December	11, 1968
		MEMORANDUM		
	`	PHENOTONION		
•				
	TO:		,	
•	FROM:			·
	FROM:			
	SUBJECT:	Meeting at November 18,	1060	
••	REFERENCE:	23003014	-M√T	
contract to			bject subco	
contract to approximate ing, and the	ely a month behais meeting was		ubject subcon of the date of progress an	ntract was of the meet- nd plans.
contract to approximate ing, and the	ely a month behais meeting was	ind schedule as c called to review	ubject subcon of the date of progress an	ntract was of the meet- nd plans.
contract to approximate ing, and the	ely a month behais meeting was	ind schedule as c called to review	ubject subcon of the date of progress an	ntract was of the meet- nd plans.
contract to approximate ing, and the	ely a month behais meeting was	ind schedule as c called to review	ubject subcon of the date of progress an	ntract was of the meet- nd plans.
contract to approximate ing, and the	ely a month behais meeting was	ind schedule as c called to review	ubject subcon of the date of progress an	ntract was of the meet- nd plans.
contract to approximate ing, and the	ely a month behais meeting was ing convened ance:	Suind schedule as called to review t about 11:00 a.m	bject subcon of the date of progress and the state of the	ntract was of the meet- nd plans.
contract to approximate ing, and the	ely a month behais meeting was ing convened ance:	ind schedule as c called to review	bject subcon of the date of progress and the state of the	ntract was of the meet- nd plans.
contract to approximate ing, and the The meet in attendant. This tas	was delay	ind schedule as called to review t about 11:00 a.m	bject subcompleted the date of progress and with the state of the significant significant controls.	meeting late
contract to approximate ing, and the The meet in attendant This tas eral mensur	was delay k can produce ration area and	ind schedule as controlled to review to the current of the current	bject subcomplet the date of progress and with the significant open precise of the significant of the signif	meeting late to the ger
This taseral mensurstudy. The	was delay ak can produce ration area and	ind schedule as called to review t about 11:00 a.m	bject subcomplet the date of progress and with the second the significant of the microde the microde	meeting late to the ger measurements ensitometric
This taseral mensurestudy. The measurement human factor	was delay k can produce cation area and care are also fa cars work relati	ed in transit and useful results of to the current of ctors involved in ng to mensuration.	bject subcomplet the date of progress and with the significant of the microde least as a second of the progress of the microde least as a second of the progress of the progre	meeting late to the ger measurements ensitometric technique, ir am appears
This taseral mensur study. The measurement human factor now to be ween approximate to approximate approximate in attendant approximate to approximate to approximate the measurement approximate to approximate the approximate to approximate the approximate to approximate the approximate the approximate the approximate to approximate the approximate approxima	was delay k can produce cation area and care are also fa cars work relati	ed in transit and useful results of to the current of to the applied, at ng to mensuration and a definite pl	bject subcomplet the date of progress and with the significant of the microde least as a second of the progress of the microde least as a second of the progress of the progre	meeting late to the ger measurements ensitometric technique, ir am appears
This taseral mensur study. The measurement human factor now to be we details of	was delay was delay was delay at care and are are also fare are which care work relatively conceived the meeting for	ed in transit and useful results of to the current of to the applied, at ng to mensuration and a definite pl	bject subcomplet the date of progress and with the significant of the microde least as a second of the program is formulated.	meeting late to the ger measurements ensitometric technique, ir am appears lated. The

the experimental set-up. The results of Task I are generally as reported in the previous meeting and in the quarterly report. Replication was not sufficient to add much insight although the correlation between 50% density widths and operator readings produced very interesting and potentially useful results.

The intent is to read both Phase II and Phase III film and	
then correlate data target by target. Operators are unaware	
of the intent of the work, so little contamination of data should	
be present. The operators are	25X1
- all known to the writer and considered to be a good	25 X ′
choice of personnel. is assisting in the statis-	25X1
tical study and apparently doing the bulk of the data	25X1
processing	

Printed plans and summaries were available for the meeting. They hope to have the measurements taken by December 30, 1968. Targets 1 and 6 (reversed pair) are being used, as per earlier agreement. They will then have six weeks to do the final data analysis. There is a good chance that all exposure levels may be analyzed. The f/8 series is expected to be completed by December 15th. Thus, Phases II and III are being worked on concurrently.

The correlation between half-density points and operator measurement was most interesting. It is felt that this evidence shows that the interface between the observer and the subject may be quite definable.

I recommended that they try the 50% transmittance difference	
point also. The exact response of the eye in such matters is not	
well defined and such an analysis might help tie down this ques-	
tion. The photographs have been replicated five times, and	
feels that this may eliminate some of the odd results	25 X ′
encountered before. Certainly, such replication is an essential	
part of the experiment. They intend analyzing one complete set	
of replicated data to establish confidence limits as a function	
of density, requested that results be conveyed to	25X1
him as soon as one (replicated) exposure level on one target is	
completed.	

There appears to be no reason why this program cannot produce useful results. The data furnished indicates that overhead and G&A rates (120/10, approximately) allow sufficient hours to complete more work than initially planned. It would probably be wise to keep some management pressure applied from the customer, but aside from this, no action is indicated.